

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

McCormick County School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 775

Superintendent: Earlean Smiley James A. FRanklin

Board Chair: James Lambeth

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD | | ESEA/FEDERAL A | DERAL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING SYSTEM | |
|------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | General Performance | Closing the Gap | ESEA Grade | Accountability Indicator | |
| 2013 | Average | Excellent | N/A | N/A | С | N/A | |
| 2012 | Average | Below Average | N/A | N/A | D | N/A | |
| 2011 | Average | Below Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CDI | |

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 1 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 01/16/2014. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

| PASS | PASS | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| PASS | 2013 Reading | 2013 Math | 2013 Science | 2013 Social Studies | 2013 Writing | | | |
| | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | | | |
| District | 67.2% | 53.7% | 56.0% | 54.2% | 68.0% | | | |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 65.5% | 59.6% | 58.5% | 63.8% | 61.9% | | | |
| Average District | 76.9% | 73.4% | 73.0% | 75.7% | 74.5% | | | |

| PASS | 2013 Reading | 2013 Math | 2013 Science | 2013 Social Studies | 2013 Writing |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary |
| District | 24.2% | 14.4% | 9.3% | 14.1% | 20.6% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 26.8% | 20.3% | 12.3% | 21.5% | 19.4% |
| Average District | 41.7% | 35.6% | 23.9% | 36.9% | 33.8% |

| HSAP | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| HSAP: 2nd Year Students | Passed 2 Subtests (%) | Passed 1 Subtest (%) | Passed No Subtests (%) |
| District | 68.8% | 16.7% | 14.6% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 70.4% | 17.2% | 13.2% |

| HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013 (%) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| District | 95.2% | | |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 89.3% | | |

| End of Course Test Passage Rate (% | 6) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 38.5% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 58.2% |

| On-Time Graduation Rate (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 87.5% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 76.9% |

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

NAEP*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level.

| | Percentages at NAEP A | chievemer | nt Levels. | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| | READING – GRADE 4 | (2011) | 1 | | | |
| | South Carolina | 39 | 33 | 22 | 2 6 | |
| | Nation | 34 | 34 | 2 | 25 | 7 |
| | READING - GRADE 8 | (2011) | | | | |
| | South Carolina | 28 | 45 | | 25 | 2 |
| | Nation | 25 | 43 | | 29 | 3 |
| 1 | % | Below Bas | ic % Basic, Profic | ent, ar | nd Advanc | ced |
| 1 | ■ Below Basic □ Basic | ☐ Proficier | nt Advanced | | | |
| | MATH – GRADE 4 (201 | 1) | | | | |
| | South Carolina | 21 | 43 | | 31 | 5 |
| | Nation | 18 | 42 | | 33 | 6 |
| - 1 | I | | | | | |

| South Carolina | 21 | 43 | 31 | 5 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|----|
| Nation | 18 | 42 | 33 | 6 |
| MATH – GRADE 8 (2011) | | | | |
| South Carolina | 30 | 38 | 25 | 7 |
| Nation | 28 | 39 | 26 | 8 |
| C | % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficient, | , and Advanc | ed |
| ■ Below Basic ☐ E | Basic 🔲 Proficie | ent Advanced | | |

| SCIENCE – GRAD | E 4 (2009) | 1 | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|---|
| South Carolina | 28 | 38 | 33 | 1 |
| Nation | 29 | 39 | 32 | 1 |
| SCIENCE – GRAD | E 8 (2011) | | | |
| South Carolina | 39 | 32 | 27 | 1 |
| Nation [| 36 | 32 | 29 | 2 |
| | % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficien | t, and Advance | d |
| ■ Below Basic ☐ E | Basic 🔲 Proficient | Advanced | | |

SC PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

McCormick County School District **DISTRICT PROFILE**

| | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Students (n=775) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 3.2% | Up from 2.3% | 2.3% | 1.9% |
| Attendance rate | 96.4% | Down from 97.0% | 95.8% | 95.8% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | 2.9% | N/A | 6.8% | 12.0% |
| With disabilities | 9.3% | N/A | 13.8% | 12.7% |
| Older than usual for grade | 4.9% | N/A | 6.0% | 4.8% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.6% | Down from 1.0% | 0.7% | 0.7% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 7.3% | Up from 7.0% | 5.6% | 14.0% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/A | N/A | 38.1% | 50.5% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 25.4% | Down from 29.2% | 29.6% | 31.8% |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 12 | Down from 43 | 32 | 50 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 12 | Down from 30 | 26 | 37 |
| Annual dropout rate | 0.9% | Down from 1.2% | 2.6% | 2.3% |
| Teachers (n=63) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 65.1% | Up from 55.6% | 60.4% | 61.4% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 71.4% | Up from 66.7% | 76.3% | 80.0% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 73.5% | Up from 73.4% | 87.8% | 90.4% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.4% | Down from 94.7% | 94.7% | 94.8% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$44,585 | Up 6.8% | \$44,947 | \$47,587 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 1.6% | Up from 0.0% | 0.8% | 0.2% |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 11.6% | Up from 8.2% | 3.0% | 1.8% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 0.8 days | Down from 15.5 days | 9.3 days | 10.3 days |
| District | | | | |
| Superintendent's years at district | 2.0 | No Change | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 14.4 to 1 | Up from 8.7 to 1 | 20.4 to 1 | 22.2 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 89.7% | Up from 89.6% | 88.7% | 89.4% |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$12,705 | Up 0.2% | \$9,806 | \$9,101 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 44.0% | Down from 44.4% | 48.0% | 52.0% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 46.0% | Down from 48.0% | 51.0% | 56.0% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | Up from Good | Excellent | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 3 | No Change | 7 | 11 |
| Portable classrooms | 0.0% | No Change | 0.7% | 0.9% |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 3.0 | No Change | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Parents attending conferences | 100.0% | No Change | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Average administrator salary | \$70,870 | Up 5.4% | \$75,992 | \$79,389 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No Change | 0 | 0 |
| ESEA composite index score * Length of contract = 185+ days. | 71.5 | Up from 63.5 | 68.9 | 81.0 |

^{*} Length of contract = 185+ days.

RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

| | PASS | HSAP | End-of-Course Tests |
|--------------|------|------|---------------------|
| Passage Rate | N/A | N/A | N/A |

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

| School Name | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating | ESEA Grade |
|--|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| There are no charter schools in this district. | | | |

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

2012-2013 was a year of change for the McCormick County School District. We had our second year of implementation for a different style of administration at the PK-12 Complex with the PK-12 Principal, three instructional leaders and three behavior modification specialists. This year the leadership team at the school level moved forward on several promising initiatives for the district to improve teaching and learning. Training and professional development continued to be the focus for faculty and staff. Classroom management, Springboard, Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support, PowerTeacher, data analysis and Advanced Placement are a few of the areas addressed through the professional development components of the district strategic plan and school renewal plans. We continue to work to build capacity in our teachers and staff so that we can provide our students with the skills they need to become successful citizens. It is our belief that every child can learn given the opportunity that meets their needs. Dr. Earlean Smiley, Supt. of Schools James Lambeth Board Chairman

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available.